British Nationalist

MAY 1984 12p

FOR RACE AND NATION

Our view of Government handling of the Libyan incident...

PATHETIC!

Murderer of British policewoman gets safe passage home



ATROCITY UNPUNISHED

Left: WPC Yvonne Fletcher, one of the most popular and respected officers in the force. Below left: WPC Fletcher falls immediately after being hit by the bullet from the Libyan gunman. Below right: Police colleagues try in vain to revive her as she lies dying in the roadway. Bottom: Pallbearers carry her on her last journey.



MURDER has taken place in the streets of London and the murderer has got off scot-free. These are the brutal and inescapable facts of the incident that occurred at the Libyan 'People's Bureau' in St. James' Square last month which resulted in the death of a young policewoman, WPC Yvonne Fletcher. They are facts which should cause the Government to hang its head in shame.

In fact the handling of the affair by the Government has been truly pathetic.

In the first place there never should have been any demonstration outside the Bureau by Libyan dissidents opposed to Colonel Gaddafi. Foreigners like the Libyans who are allowed to live in this country should be regarded as doing so, not as a right, but as a privilege. The terms of their residence permits should include the stipulation that while here they engage in no political activity of any kind. It is outrageous that Britain's police, who have quite enough on their hands dealing with domestic crime and matters of public order, should have to be occupied – AT OUR EXPENSE – keeping the peace between foreign political factions conducting their own internal conflicts on our soil. ALL demonstrations by foreigners in this country should long ago have been banned.

The Government protests in its defence that when WPC Fletcher was shot the police were unable to apprehend the killer because this would have involved them entering the 'People's Bureau' – which is Libyan Embassy property – in violation of 'international law' – in this case the Vienna Convention, which grants immunity to foreign diplomats and classifies foreign embassy property in any country as part of the territory of the nation whose embassy it is.

PRIORITY OF BRITISH LAW

We say that, whatever the virtues of 'international law', whenever that law comes into conflict with British law on British soil, and in connection with such a serious crime as murder, British law should take priority and international law should be suspended.

The police, in other words, should have immediately been given the authorisation to enter the Libyan People's Bureau and detain, if necessary, every individual present in the building until such time as their investigations had led them to the gunman who killed WPC Fletcher.

Afterwards Home Secretary Leon Britton spoke to the nation and offered the lame excuse that because the police were not able to enter the Libyan building the killer would have to be allowed to go free, since not enough evidence could be produced to convict him.

But this was later contradicted by two reports. According to one of these reports, the police had installed ultra-sensitive listening devices outside the building and by means of these had managed to monitor the conversations going on between the Libyans inside. From these conversations it had become clear who the gunman was.

The other report was to the effect that the police would continue investigations into the murder, despite the fact that the killer was now certain to be out of the country – expelled with the other Libyans some days after the incident. One senior police officer came on television and said that the police were determined to find the identity of the killer of WPC Fletcher. One reason for this, we were told, was that the police wished to notify Interpol and other police forces around the world who the murderer was.

Surely, if the police think they are able to discover the identity of the murderer AFTER he has left the country they could have done so while he was still in the country.

So, even if the Government decided that it could not authorise the police to enter the Bureau building, it could still have ensured the detention of the killer by the simple device of arresting all the occupants of the building when they made their exit from it after Britain had suspended diplomatic relations with Libya.

The other excuse that the Government made for its inaction was that by making arrests of the Libyans it would endanger Britons resident in Libya by allowing them to become potential hostages to Colonel Gaddafi.

This really is feeble. Libya is an underdeveloped, backward country of 2 million people. Is it really beyond the power of Britain – despite its recent decline, still one of the major nations of the world – to apply sufficient persuasive force against the Gaddafi régime to deter that régime from harming British subjects in Libya? If we can send a task force to the South Atlantic to take the Falkland Islands back from Argentina, we can surely dispatch enough naval and air power to the shores of Tripoli to guarantee the safety of British personnel in Libya.

The Government's explanation of its failure to bring to justice the killer of WPC Fletcher is totally unacceptable. Its performance throughout the affair has been one of bungling, incompetence and cowardice. It has given to every political desperado around the world the green light that they may treat Britain with impunity, not to say contempt. Our nation has once again been spat upon by its inferiors. Just when is the process going to stop?

(See Editorial Comment, page 2)

British
Nationalist

No. 38 MAY 1984
Editor: John Morse
Contributing Editor:
Charles Parker

WEAKNESS

RIGHTLY CONSIDERED, the Libyan Embassy affair was the logical outcome of weakness.

Ever since Libya led the oil blockade against the industrial nations in 1973, the Islamic world has discovered the true feebleness of the politicians who govern the liberal West. Under Arab oil blackmail, the ruling establishments of the western democracies grovelled and appeased instead of imposing their will, by force if necessary. Where yesterday the white man had commanded, today he approaches his former subject peoples in abject supplication.

The Islamic peoples have come to realise the unfitness and lack of will of these governments. They have seized the opportunity to reverse the old power relationship.

One of the most graphic illustrations of this is the contrast in the treatment of Arabs in Britain with that of British citizens and other Europeans in the Arab world. British nationals working in Middle Eastern countries (and thereby supplying the skills basic to those countries' prosperity) have been subjected to the rigours of Islamic law. There have been cases of Britons suffering the humiliation of public floggings for failing to conform with the dictates of Islam. It would be unthinkable in such countries for British residents to conduct political feuds, let alone hold street demonstrations in Tripoli or Jeddah!

Arabs here, meanwhile, who contribute nothing to our country, have all the freedoms which the prevailing pathetic liberal tolerance extends to anti-British aliens.

Following the expulsion (arrests and trials were not even considered by the British government) in February of a number of Libyans involved in terrorist attacks against political opponents of Gaddafi's régime, who have been allowed to set up shop here, there was a very large march by pro-Gaddafi Arabs through central London to protest against such expulsions. This mass anti-British demonstration was so provocative that our controlled liberal press and media made scarcely any mention of it. These hostile aliens were permitted by the authorities to march through our capital city, escorted by our policemen, carrying placards bearing the legend "We have our rights" and shouting their support for Gaddafi and hatred for Britain.

The governments of barbaric third-world states, where such tolerance is regarded with the contempt it deserves, are not slow to draw the logical inference: a nation which extends "democratic rights" to enemies and aliens in its midst is not a nation which will be respected. Gaddafi evidently concluded that such a people need not be taken into account in the conduct of the gangsterish operations he was undertaking

The display of government impotence during and in the aftermath of the Libyan Embassy siege has only served to reinforce Gaddafi's contempt for this country's rulers.

The ultimate responsibility for the death of the young policewoman and the casualties of the Libyan terrorist bomb outrage at Heathrow Airport lies with our "liberal democratic" politicians. It is these puny specimens who have brought Britain to its present state of weakness and contempt.

THE 'PEOPLE'S WILL'

IF THERE is one thing proved by the recent local government elections, it is the farce and the fraud of the political system that masquerades under the name of 'Democracy'.

For some years now, the British people have become appalled at the growing tendency for town and city councils to be taken over by far-left Labour majorities — in effect, Communists merely operating under a different label.

These far-left councils have turned the areas under their administration into nothing less than Soviet-style republics, lavishing ratepayers' money on all manner of nutty left-wing causes and organisations miles removed from the purpose for which rates are paid and local government is intended — to provide necessary amenities for the local population.

It has happened in London, in Liverpool, in Sheffield, in South Yorkshire, in the West Midlands and many other areas. It is not popular with the people — except a tiny fringe minority, including queers and lesbians, black militants, extreme feminists, junkies and drop-outs, prostitutes and social misfits of every other description, who have been the beneficiaries of largesse from out of local government funds — provided of course by the ratepayer.

Yet what happened on May 3rd? The hold of the extreme left on Britain's town and city halls became greater rather than less. A crypto-Communist group took over Edinburgh Council and immediately announced its intention to transform the internationally respected Edinburgh Festival into a left-wing cultural workshop. Labour also took over Birmingham and strengthened its grip on Liverpool. Even Tory South Coast strongholds like Eastbourne fell to the rampaging left.

Our paper is no supporter of Conservatism. The Tory performance in local government has been feeble everywhere it has operated.

But the performance of Labour — almost everywhere now ruled by extreme left-wing caucuses — has been far, far worse. Labour councils have, in effect, reduced municipalities to a state hardly different to towns and cities behind the Iron Curtain.

Yet the people came out and voted for them earlier this month. Why? One can only suppose that it was a sort of blind reaction against an increasingly unpopular Tory leadership — a reaction made all the more blind by the ignorance on the part of the voters as to what their Labour Councils in fact had in store for them.

If our 'democratic' system leads to this kind of mass lunacy, then it is time we had a good look at that system and asked ourselves: is there not a better alternative?

Trade union bosses betray workers' interests

BIG MOUTH SCARGILL No friend of the British Worker.

AS BRITISH INDUSTRY AND JOBS have been destroyed, the trade unions have failed to campaign at all for the protection of British industry. On the contrary, the left-wing "friends of the workers" in the unions and Labour Party have pursued a policy of militant industrial wrecking highly consistent with the economic internationalism of the "capitalists" these elements profess to hate.

The boss of the steelworkers' union, Bill Sirs, launched a strike over pay in a moment of recession when the British Steel Corporation was already planning a massive programme of plant closures. He thereby did the work of the Corporation, the government and the international banking fraternity by providing them with the pretext for axing the industry in favour of the products of Far Eastern coolie sweatshops and Soviet Bloc slave factories.

Now Red miners' chief, Arthur Scargill, seems set to finish off the government's and bankers' job for them with his war on the remaining steel plants and their workers. In pursuit of the strike in the mining industry, NUM "flying pickets" have blockaded Ravenscraig and other plants to prevent coal supplies arriving. The interruption of production can only lead to the more rapid destruction of what remains of the steel industry.

The coal strike itself, nominally aimed at stopping pit closures and saving jobs, was called only after foreign coal imports had been allowed to jeopardise the British mining industry. At no time did Scargill or the other left-wing militants in control of the NUM attempt an intelligent political campaign in favour of its protection.

Industrial sabotage, not industrial protection, is the policy of the left-wing cliques in power within the unions. Militant Marxist union bosses offer the workers empty Communist claptrap about "class war" and "proletarian revolution" as a substitute for jobs.

There is nothing in any of this which threatens the interests of the "capitalists" about which Marxists like Scargill endlessly rant. On the contrary, the czars of international finance can only benefit by the Marxist class warriors' sabotage of British industry and their diversion of our workers' thoughts away from the protection of their country's industries towards industrial and civil strife instead.

So neatly do the activities of far-left revolutionaries and alien financial manipulators tie in with each other that we are left wondering whether the government, which does nothing to cure the causes of industrial anarchy, and the unions, which merely offer the workers red herrings instead of genuine protection, are not merely cogs in a vast international machine which cosmopolitan finance can regulate at will.

NATIONALISM PROMOTES INDUSTRIAL SUCCESS

OF ALL THE COUNTRIES to which we have lost out in economic competition Japan is the most notable.

This is despite the fact that as a race the Japanese, like other Orientals, show little power of innovation. They have gained their successes by default on the part of the white western nations, especially our own.

Combining technical expertise taken from the West with the ant-like capacity for repetitious labour of their people, they have kept themselves in form for what amounts to their economic war on ourselves by applying principles abandoned by our own decadent ruling establishment.

In effect, our industrial infrastructure and thus our level of employment have been attacked and crippled by an industrious Asiatic people which has been allowed to think and act along nationalistic lines.

While our politicians bleat about the benefits of allowing foreign goods to continue flooding our domestic market, the Japanese have systematically kept out foreign manufactures. Japan has based production on an assured, protected home market. This has enabled her industries to cut unit costs and invest in modernisation, thereby gaining a competitive edge in foreign markets as well.

NATIONAL UNITY

Meanwhile a feeling of national unity has been promoted by the fact that the Japanese worker knows that his national leaders are determined to safeguard his job and that he therefore has every reason to be co-operative. Japanese government, management and workers function as a team because they know that everyone is working for Japan.

What do we see in Britain?

Our own national "leaders" are almost explicit in their indifference to the well-being of the nation's workforce. Our workers are told that if they are not as efficient as foreign producers then the latter will simply be allowed to drive them to the wall, even in their own domestic market. It is never recognised that much of our unemployment is not the result of inefficiency but of the subsidies which our competitors, including Japan, lavish on their own export industries and even that part of our economic troubles which is due to inefficiency will not be cured by allowing foreigners to annihilate our own firms.

Many workers are aware of this and react with resentment.

Our "leaders" abandon them to the exploitation of unscrupulous left-wing agitators, who care nothing for their real welfare but only for their usefulness, while they are in an embittered state of mind, in bringing about Communist revolution.

Our workers deserve better than this. They have proved in the past that they are more than a match for those of other nations.

A Nationalist government alone can give them the fighting chance they need to show their worth again.

CAR PLANT IN JAPAN Protection has helped build the strength of Jap industry.



NEWS ROUND-UP

THE GOVERNMENT of Uganda has been given documentary evidence which shows that a "large scale" fraud is being attempted by Asians returning to that country. The Asians, who were made to leave Uganda in 1972, are said to be going around with forged certificates of repossession of property.

★★★

ALMOST £14,000 of Camden ratepayers' money is being squandered on computers, television sets, video gear, electric typewriters and photocopiers to equip a consortium of 'peace protest' groups. The council, which already pays £12,000 a year for a full time 'peace officer', is now considering providing, free of charge, a building to become the 'Camden Peace Centre'.

★★★

A ROWDY WEST INDIAN PARTY which went on for four consecutive nights ended when a neighbour, 54 year old William McFall, shot dead one of the dancers. Mr. McFall was said to be in a state of uncontrollable anger at the time of the shooting.

★★★

CHRISTINE CHAFFINS, 81, who has regularly fed the birds in Ruskin Park, Brixton, for many years, was rushed to hospital after being brutally mugged by a black youth. The 6ft attacker sprang at Miss Chaffins from a public lavatory, knocking her to the floor and splitting open her head.

★★★

THE BLACK HEADMASTER of a comprehensive school in Bradford called in police after a group calling itself the 'Bradford Anti-Apartheid Group' tried to lure pupils from their lessons. The group called for an end to alleged abuse of coloured pupils and urged the youngsters to inform on any of their teachers who held 'racist' views.

★★★

FOUR BLACK BROTHERS were jailed recently following a garrotting attack on a High Wycome policeman. PC Carruthers had a wire noose flung around his throat by one of the attackers, and another policeman who tried to arrest the mob was scarred for life by a plate smashed into his face.

★★★

GLC LABOUR LEADER Ken Livingstone has been elected a vice president of the Young Liberals along with jailed Whitehall mole Sarah Tisdall.

★★★

A FAMILY DOCTOR, Sadequim Khan, has been fined £10,000 for prescribing vast amounts of drugs for his patients. Dr. Khan, who is appealing against the decision, said afterwards: "I do not know how I will be able to pay my telephone bills or run the car. I also have to support three sons at college."

★★★

THE GLC has approved a grant of £139,000 for a West Indian pre-school group to look after a maximum of 20 children. The 'West Indian Under Fives Action Group', which will now receive £7,000 for each child, says one of its objects is to "counteract the known negative effects of the education system on black children."

★★★

TWO BLACK BURGLARS who broke into a house in south west London threatened to cut a four-month-old baby's throat. The baby's 20 year old mother, who was tied up with electric flex, pleaded with the attackers not to hurt her daughter as the knife was held to her throat. The raiders left the woman and child helpless in the house as they escaped with goods worth £1,500.

BRITAIN'S DEFENCE IMPERILLED

MoD standards to be compromised in the cause of 'racial balance'

BRITAIN'S NATIONAL SECURITY does not only depend on the capability of the combat units of our armed forces; it also rests vitally on the vast and intricate network of administration, intelligence and supply that is essential to maintain those forces in the field, a network staffed predominantly by civilians and operating under the umbrella of the Ministry of Defence.

If this civilian network functions at anything less than peak efficiency, or if any of those employed in it are liable to owe anything less than 100 per-cent loyalty to the British Nation, the performance of our fighting forces in wartime could be jeopardised, possibly gravely.

The efficiency and loyalty of MoD employees, however, is now no longer a top priority – as can be seen by a brief study of a circular letter recently issued by the Ministry to staff members in the West and North West of England. This has been superseded by the priority of achieving a 'racial balance' among Ministry staff. The letter urges MoD workers to fill in a form requesting details of their ethnic origins. While the letter does not specifically say so, it is clear that the ultimate object of the exercise is to have MoD establishments staffed by people of various ethnic groups in proportion to those groups' size in the areas in which the establishments are located, and as part of this policy 'pressure' will eventually be put on departmental heads to make their choice of staff in accordance with this rule. Out of the window will go the procedure of selection on merit. Every departmental head will know that if his area contains, for instance, a substantial population of West Indian origin it will be necessary for him to be able to show a token number of West Indians on his staff. Facts that are well known concerning the educational performance of West Indians indicate clearly that this will mean discrimination against white British applicants of superior qualifications and ability. Somewhere in the field a British serviceman may lose his life as an indirect result of a dropping of standards in the offices of the MoD.

Inefficiency or disloyalty at Mo D could endanger his life.

THE LOYALTY FACTOR

Then we have to consider the Asian community in Britain. It is true that some members of this community are able to attain high standards of intelligence and academic achievement, but that is just one factor to be considered. Equally important is the question of security rating, which has to be high in such a sensitive area as the Ministry of Defence. Here the MoD letter is revealing: it says airily that "for the most part" MoD civil servants are British citizens – meaning, in other words, that not all of them are – an alarming revelation if national loyalty is to be taken, as it should be, as a vital criterion of security clearance. However, that is to digress. We know for a fact that the Asian community in Britain, like its West Indian counterpart, has scarcely integrated itself at all with the British population but still considers itself to be first and foremost Indian, Pakistani or whatever other nationality applies. Britain is just this community's place of residence. Spiritually, culturally and politically, it identifies itself first and foremost with the lands of origin from whence it came – and this applies no less to the younger generation born here than to their elders who migrated here.

FANTASTIC

Considering that pure British subjects can be automatically and permanently barred from MoD positions merely on account of their being known to have affiliation to 'extremist' political groups (including ultra-patriotic groups), it is quite fantastic that there should now be a drive to get more and more people of foreign racial origin into these positions, with or without the topmost educational qualifications.

The MoD's task is the defence of Britain and its worldwide interests. It should be manned, at administrative level just as at combat level, by people who identify themselves with the cause of Britain as well as people who are the most highly qualified for the jobs they perform. It should be a combination of these criteria that decides appointments to all posts, civil and military, that bear upon national defence. By showing themselves prepared to depart from this rule, the chiefs of the Ministry of Defence, and the Government that is their ultimate source of authority, are guilty of nothing less than treason.

Tory traitors promote Asian take-over of British city

CONSERVATIVE CONTROLLED Bradford City Council, which the Tory group controls by a narrow majority with Liberal support, has committed itself to support the minority racism of the city's 65,000 strong predominantly Pakistani Moslem immigrant community.

Pressure is being applied in the school system on head teachers and other staff and pupils to promote the religion and way of life of this alien minority at the expense of young Britons.

A stream of instructions has been issued to head teachers over a period of some months. These include:–

- **– "Mother tongue" teaching;**
- **– Single-sex schools in accordance with the Koran;**
- **– Revised religious education with no compulsory Christian teaching;**
- **– A revision in the rules of physical education to avoid offending Islamic principles.**

Behaviour" have been issued by the Tory council according to which schools must ban all "right-wing" literature and remove "racist" graffiti. "Racial offenders" are to be suspended.

NO RESPECT FOR BRITISH

There is no evidence that a reciprocal obligation to adopt a respectful attitude towards the British way of life is to be in any way imposed on the immigrant community.

Indeed, the local education authority has rejected a petition signed by 10,000 local ratepayers who oppose the supply of Moslem "halal" meat to the 311 schools under the Authority's control, on the grounds that the Moslem method of ritual killing is cruel. Bradford schools are currently required to supply 3,500 Halal meals a day. The method of slaughter involves bleeding animals to death without first stunning them with a modern humane killer. The local authority provides its abattoir for these slaughters.

School cooks are subject to the supervision of Imams (holy men) from the local Moslem communities who have been given the power to patrol kitchens checking that food meets their requirements.

A spokesman for the Authority is quoted as saying:

"We are insisting on the halal meat as edible proof to the minority that the Council means what it says – that there will be equality for all faiths in the city."



Asians in Bradford agitate for more concessions.

Whites, whether pupils or teachers, who oppose the use of their schools to transform the city into an alien ghetto dominated by Islamic principles have been threatened with disciplinary measures. "Guidelines for Racial

CRAWLING TORIES

The process whereby contemporary Tories have been brought to crawl on their bellies before alien minorities whilst stamping on the right of the British people to protect their own way of life, with a fervour, as in this case, equal to that of the most rabid leftist race-mixers was recently hinted at in the immigration debate at the Tory Party conference. A Yorkshire Councillor is reported to have said that one third of the live births in Bradford are now Asian, and that his party cannot afford to write off all those potential Tory voters. One half of Britain's non-white population was said at the conference to be represented by Tory MP's whilst racial minorities have helped to bring about Conservative gains "in certain cities".

Further, a recent exultant report from the Commission for Racial Equality shows that Asian voters are 20 per-cent more likely to turn out in a general election than other voters.

To the Tory party, the total destruction of the British people does not matter a damn if it helps Tory politicians to keep their overfed snouts stuck firmly into the trough in Britain's present-day political pigsty.

The Tories proceed on the cynical calculation that, as one of their spokesmen has recently remarked, "Asians are natural Tories."

RACE MIXING THE PRIORITY
Circular letter sent out by Ministry announces new policy.

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB
Telephone (Direct Dialling) 01-218
(Switchboard) 01-218 9000

From: Deputy Under Secretary of State (Civilian Management)
R M HASTIE-SMITH

Dear Colleague

WE NEED YOUR HELP

We are carrying out surveys of ethnic origins in the North West Economic Planning Region *(Lancashire, Cheshire, Greater Manchester and Merseyside)* and the County of Avon. We wish to ask people who work in the non-industrial Civil Service in these areas to say what their ethnic origins are.

The Civil Service has a policy of equal opportunity. This says that all people, no matter what their sex or marital status, race, colour, nationality or ethnic or national origins, will receive the same treatment when working and pursuing their career in the Civil Service.

The Government wants to find out whether this policy is working and is committed to taking steps to ensure further progress is made to achieving equal opportunity. To do this we need to know about the ethnic origins of people working in the Civil Service.

During 1982 a survey of the ethnic origins of civil servants was carried out in Leeds. More than 90% of civil servants in the area co-operated in this survey. To build on the findings of the Leeds survey we are carrying out surveys in two more areas this autumn. These surveys will be on a much larger scale. They will cover all non-industrial civil servants in the areas concerned, and thus take further the steps necessary to establish a sound statistical basis for monitoring the Civil Service.

This survey is concerned only with the ethnic origins of staff, not their nationality or citizenship (for the most part civil servants are British citizens). We are asking you therefore to tick one box in the attached questionnaire to show your ethnic origin. The answer you give will be retained on your department's computer record system. It will be treated in strict confidence and will be protected by effective safeguards which have been agreed with the Council of Civil Service Unions.

The information will be used only to further the Civil Service's policy of equal opportunity by staff involved in this work. It will not be made available for any other use.

Please fill in the attached questionnaire and return it sealed in the envelope provided. Please do this if possible on the day you receive the questionnaire. After the survey a computer print-out will be issued to you showing the ethnic origin which has been placed on your record with CIPMIS.

The Council of Civil Service Unions are co-operating fully with the survey, and it also has the support of the local Community Relations Council and the Commission for Racial Equality.

If you have any difficulties with the questionnaire please consult your line manager or your Trade Union representative.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP

Yours sincerely

R M Hastie-Smith

STRANGE FRIENDS

PRIME MINISTER Margaret Thatcher has some peculiar friends.

Dr. Armand Hammer, chief of Occidental Petroleum, has been described as one of her "admirers". According to *The Daily Telegraph:* "Few men are likely to have had as much influence in the White House and the Kremlin simultaneously."

Hammer, the grandson of a millionaire Jewish shipbuilder in Russia, has been a backer of the Soviet régime for 60 years, and is something of an accredited intermediary between that régime and the (largely Jewish) New York financial cartel which, under the leadership of banker Jacob Schiff, originally financed the Bolshevik revolution. He has successively been the friend of Lenin, Stalin, Kruschev and Brezhnev.

Since shortly after the Revolution he has been promoting East-West trade and financial investment in the Soviet Union. Cheap goods manufactured in the Eastern Bloc have been dumped on Western markets at the expense of our own industry and employment levels and at enormous profit to the bankers and multi-nationals for whom Hammer appears to have fronted in dealing with Communist leaders.

A further effect of this investment has been to build the military power of the Soviet Bloc, a development which, despite the rhetoric of some Western politicians, the bankers do not appear to be worried about. Perhaps acting as their spokesman, he is reported to have said of the Capitalist and Communist worlds: "We are becoming more socialistic and they are becoming more capitalistic and somewhere in between there is a meeting ground."

Could this be a part of the programme evidently being pursued by the international financial fraternity for the elimination of nations and their integration into a single world order with Communism as one of the instruments for achieving this?

Such tie-ups between our own politicians and members of that fraternity should give pause for thought about the true facts behind world politics.

***British Nationalist,* though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.**

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,–It is interesting, in the light of your exposure last month of the many vicious attacks by Colloureds on Whites, to observe that whenever the mass media speak of 'racist attacks', as they often do, they always have in mind attacks by Whites on Coloureds. Press and broadcasting are constantly harping on the alleged evils of white 'racism', yet they never, have time or inclination to mention coloured racism, which on the evidence we have is a far more alarming and socially destructive phenomenon.

ALICE BROOKE (Mrs.)
Northampton

Sir,–With reference to your editorial on the capitulation of the government to the unions over the political levy, I might add that it is also evident that the government has capitulated in another, related, sector. This is in respect of the introduction of the universal secret ballot as a basis for decisions on whether to strike. Had this rule been in force, and had there been in consequence a national ballot on the miners' strike, the trouble we have had at the pits would almost certainly not have arisen.

Here again is another failure of government will. All the government has done so far is try to induce the unions to adopt the secret ballot by offers of state help to finance the balloting, an inducement that has thoroughly failed. In fact the government should have introduced the ballot by law and made it obligatory throughout industry. It just proved too weak-willed to take such a step.

P. H. COPE
Doncaster, Yorks.

Sir,–I'm fed up to the back teeth switching on my TV every evening for the day's news and seeing such a vast amount of coverage given to the Democratic primary election in America. What the hell has this election got to do with this country? And just how interested really are the British people in who wins it? I am not one of those who accepts that whoever is President of the United States has a vital bearing on the lives of all of us – who governs here in Britain is what matters.

L. S. AITKEN
Aberdeen

I enclose you filthy, backward, obscene, stupid and moronic magazine which you keep pushing on innocent people.

Are you afraid that the blacks will take over this country or what? and if so what can you (W . . . ING PEOPLE) do about it? F . . .-ALL!!! *(Full spelling of words in original letter – Ed.)*

Come to think of it, I bet you don't even know where you come from, or it so happens you do not know who your fathers are, no wonder you call every sick friend "Brother".

NO NAME OR ADDRESS SUPPLIED

Smashing victory in Liverpool

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY staged a tremendously successful public rally in Liverpool to mark St. George's Day last month. The rally was attended by about 150 people, including many newcomers. The party was forced to alter the location of the meeting from the originally advertised venue to a new venue, secretly booked, as a result of intimidation against the owners of the hall by left-wing militants.

The militants then staged a large counter-demonstration outside the meeting in which the demonstrators, including many Blacks, chanted hysterically and threw missiles at the window of the meeting hall. One contingent tried to get into the hall but was beaten back by BNP stewards.

In an attempt to get cheap personal publicity for themselves, the two local bishops, Protestant David Sheppard and Catholic Derek Worlock, joined in the anti-BNP demo – ostensibly to "calm down" the mob. Afterwards Bishop Worlock described the BNP meeting as 'blasphemy'. In fact it was a meeting called simply to protest against high unemployment and to propose policies which would get Britain back to work. Just what was 'blasphemous' about it, the Bishop declined to explain.

The meeting was addressed by Ian Sloan, David Bruce, Stanley Clayton-Garnett and John Tyndall, with Ken Usher in the chair. It ended in great enthusiasm with several new members joining.

Reports of the left-wing demonstration and attempted invasion of the meeting were circulated on national radio and TV networks, winning massive publicity for the BNP.

Ken Usher opens meeting

Reds make hurried exit

Summer Camp

THE SOUTH WEST REGION of the BNP will be holding a Summer Camp in Cornwall extending from the 10th to the 13th of August. Families and children will be welcome. For details, please contact Regional Organiser David Bearsford Walker, 44 Patterdale Close, Estover, Plymouth, Devon.

NEW BUILDING IN LEEDS

LEEDS BRANCH of the BNP are pleased to announce the opening of a local headquarters premises, which will be used for offices, meetings and social functions. Mick Gibson, up to recently Leeds Organiser, has been appointed Regional Organiser for the new sub-region of West Yorkshire. His place in Leeds will be taken by David Owen (no connection with the SDP leader!).

PUBLIC MEETING
BRADFORD
SAT., JUNE 2nd – 3 p.m.

THEME:

Preserve British customs in Bradford!

Speakers: JOHN TYNDALL - STANLEY CLAYTON-GARNETT

Those wishing to attend should rendez-vous outside St. George's Hall, Bradford, at 2.30 - 3 p.m.

BOOK SERVICE IN OPERATION

THE B.N.P. BOOK SERVICE is now in operation. It has bought limited stocks of books and pamphlets of interest to Nationalists but can order any books not currently in stock. We ask all BNP supporters who previously have obtained their book supplies from other book concerns now to patronise the BNP Book Service and help it expand. Manager of the Book Service is Malcolm Skeggs. Books and booklists can be obtained by writing to Mr. Skeggs at: BNP Book Service, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

Excellent meeting at Greenwich

GREENWICH BRANCH of the BNP held a highly successful meeting at Welling Library on May 9th, with 50-60 people in attendance, including many newcomers. As a result of the meeting, which was chaired by Greenwich Organiser Terry Fitzgerald and addressed by John Tyndall and Richard Edmonds, several people who previously supported other Nationalist factions have now applied to join the BNP.

Richard Edmonds' speech on party activities in London had an excellent effect in supplying greater motivation to members. John Tyndall, in his speech, laid stress on the fact that the true political reformer sometimes has to wait long years for events to prove him right. This has been the case with the Nationalist movement in Britain, whose analysis and predictions have been completely vindicated by national events, and also with the leaders of the BNP, who have been vindicated in their analysis and predictions made 4 years ago as to what would happen within the movement.

Terry Fitzgerald was in the chair.

TWO NEW GROUPS STARTED

TWO NEW UNITS of the British National Party were recently formed. One was in the Hackney & Tower Hamlets area of London, where the group was launched at a meeting addressed by David Bruce, Richard Edmonds and Robin May. The Organiser of the new group is Barry Osborne.

The other unit is in Paisley, Scotland, where local members are now carrying out their own activities separately from the Glasgow branch, out of which the group was formed. The Organiser of Paisley group is Norman McKenzie.

Inaugural meeting of Hackney & Tower Hamlets group

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Speeches by Charles Parker and Ray Hill; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Ray Hill, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on the coming British revolution.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

These 4 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 17p postage) from: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead,* a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Annual subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead,* PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 33p post
25 copies £2.70 + 95p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.67 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.00 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.20 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.35 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.50 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.80 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist,* PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £3.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS